Previous

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931.
(January)

File No. 139-31
Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for June 1932

SUBJECT

Appeal against the orders of the M.C. re the receipt of the Revenue fund of the Sri Lakshmanaswamy temple, Bangalore City, & the leasing out of its inam lands.

No 1935 D/ 24.5.1932

Intimates Mr Srikantamma that there are no [illegible] to interfere with the orders passed by the M.C. in the case.

C.G. 11/8/32

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 1931.

Serial No.

3928

Petition & of 12/31 from Shanmuga Mudaliar & another praying that the Temple Ramaswami Kovil Kallakurichi Kallakurichi Taluk be declared [illegible] the order passed by the Commissioner of [illegible] on the file of this Office in the matter of [illegible] the Temple Land be set aside [illegible] the receipt of Rs 25/- be refunded to [illegible] Revenue [illegible].

(1) The petition is insufficiently stamped and copy of the Commissioners order in the case is enclosed. The date of the decision of the M.C. is 29th Aug 1931. The time allowed under 38(5) of the Regn No VII of 1927 for preferring the appeal is 90 days. This time within the petitioner has exceeded by 10 days.

(2) His reasons if the appeal may be admitted and records called for.

4697 11/12

10/12. (H. Secy)

11.12.31

(1st member)

May be admitted & records called for

11.12.31

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

27

File No of 193 1-2 | General and Revenue — Secretariat

GS 24/2 Offs subs

Issue VS 22.12.31

i/c 11. in 84/ of 23.12.31 to Mee

Mee

Petition of 12/8/32 from Arcot Shanmuga of Sri Lakshmi Hanumandhoranni temple - Bangalore Chickpet Chickpet Taluk praying for a stay over in the matter.

The petition is not duly stamped in the first place. The prayer of the petitioner is for costs.

GS 24/2 14/3

Pending decision of appeal Stay order may perhaps be issued in this case as the petr says that his properties are being attached.

A

Fin Secy

15/3/32

For orders 1st Member

15/3

11) A - Yes

Mee 23/3/32

Submd to the Hon for stay order or in accordance with 15/3/32

6987

OFFICE OF THE FI ME 17 MAR 1932

15/3/32 552

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz File No. of 1931-2.

Serial No.

D/h sub-d

4-5 MAR 1933

Inc 28/3/32

18 MAR 33

Issue
~~Letter~~ No. 1313 of 22-3-32 to Dr. S. Shamanna, Archak, Sri Narasimhaswamy Temple Bangalore intimating about the stay order in respect of recovery of Rem. amt. and a copy of the above Letter to the Dy. C. issued.

C.-A

Letter No. e 730/31-329 28/4/32 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding records received from the Deputy Commissioner Dumbers District as also the records of this office on the subject.

1. (a) The facts of the case are as set forth in Muz appl 2 x of 30-31 on the file of the M-ers Court. [Refers in the M-rs decision have all been flagged I - It is respectfully submitted records go to show that there are no reasons to interfere with the decision of the M-C.

(b) The orders of the case may be passed for leaving —

C.: Nil

(a) The areas passed by the S.D.O. & D.C. of the M.C. are all in accordance with the written [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

4)

File No. of 193 (Old) General and Revenue Secretariat

Archak. I do not think there is any necessity to hear the case. Whether the reserve amount can be refunded & if so to what extent is for orders. The yield for the lands is said to be Rs 155+27+12 = Rs 194/- in all. If the lands do not yield it is because of the Archaks indifference.

10/6/32

For Secy) Submitted for orders.

1-86 member

I agree) Please issue an endorsement to say that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders passed by the C. Comm.

14/6/32

GS 17/6

Issued as directed.

17/6/32

17/6/32

No 1935 & 24-6-32 to the Shanmukha Archak & Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamy Temple Banaglhalli Chickmagalur Chamarajanagar Taluk — stating that there are no reasons to interfere with orders passed by the Revenue Commissioner in 1936 & 24-6-32 to the Revenue Commissioner forwarding copy of the above returning records received from him on the subject.

28/6





Muz 139-31-1.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.



Muzrai Appeal No. 10 of 31-32.

APPELLANT:- Shamanna,
Archak of
Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami Temple,
Baragi Halli,
Chicknaikanahalli Taluk

SUBJECT:- Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Disirict, directing the recovery of the Reserve fund at Rs.25/- per annum for 2 years, and the leasing out of the temple inam - lands for 5 years.

- o -

The appellant above-named begs most respectfully to appeal against the order referred to above of the Muzrai Commissioner, and to state as follows:-

1. The temple is endowed with the inam - lands details of which are given in the copy of the order herewith produced.

2. Some of the lands were in the enjoyment of others, and in the enquiry held by the Revenue Inspector the appellant agreed to pay a reserve of Rs.25/- a year, and to continue as archak, if the - inam lands which were in the enjoyment of others for nearly 20& years were made over to him together ...

with the realisations for the period.

3. On the report of the Amildar the Sub-Division Officer ordered on 8/12/28 that the lease amount for 5 years should be recovered from the appellant for a period of 5 years.

4. A notice was served on the appellant to pay up the reserve amount of Rs.25/- per annum. The appellant thereupon presented a petition to the S.D.O., stating that as the lands lay fallow, and as the yield were very inadequate, and the temple was far away on a hillock, he was unable to pay the reserve amount, and the lands may therefore be taken back to Government.

5. But the Sub-Division Officer confirmed his order which was also confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner and the Kuzrai Commissioner. Hence this appeal.

6. Grounds of appeal.

(i) The appellant has been the archak, and does the work, and in agreeing to pay the reserve amount, he expected the lands to be fertile, and that the realisations for the past 20 years were made over to him. These were the terms of his agreement.

(ii) The Sub Division Officer's order confirmed by the two lower appellate Courts ignores this idea underlying the agreement, and on an over-estimate of the value of the lands and their yield passed the order under appeal.

(iii) In these days of financial difficulties

difficulties, the appellant finds it hard to pay the lease amount calculated on a wrong estimate.

(iv) The leasing out of the lands is not only prejudicial to the interest of the temple and the archak, but works as a great hardship on the appellant.

(v) He therefore prays that the reserve amount may kindly be reduced to Rs.10/- if it cannot altogether be excused; and if even this is not possible, he may be ordered to be given a monthly salary of Rs.10/-

Bangalore,)
)
7 Dec, 31.)

APPELLANT.

In the court of the Mysore Commissioner
in Mysore Bangalore

Mysore Appeal No. 2/30-31



Appellant: Shamanna, Asst of
Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami
temple, Baragihalli,
Gubbi Taluk

Appeal against the order of the
Deputy commissioner Tumkur directing
that the Reserve amount Viz at Rs 2 s/ per
annum for 1928-29 & 1929-30 be recovered
from the appellant and the lease of the temple
inam lands of Sri Narasimhaswami of
Baragihalli be auctioned for five years.

Facts of the case

The temple of Sri Narasimhaswamy at
Baragihalli is endowed with the marginally
noted Inam lands. As it was learnt that



	S No	Extent	Assest	
1 Baragihalli	52	2-22	2-12-0	were valuable
	72	3-19	3-8-0	and would
	118	3 19	3 8 0	yield rent
	133	4-31	4-0 0	the sum Rs 20/-
	151	4-3	9-0 0	per annum
	200	1-5	5-0 0	if leased
	Total	19-19	Rs 27-12-0	out etc
2 Salahur	48	5-27	5 0-0	that the
3 Heggere	349	0-35	4-8-0	temple was
	106	4 23	2-8 0	
		5-18	Rs 7 0-0	
4 Handankere	107	4-21	Rs 6 0 0	
	395			

the Amildar was asked to investigate into
the matter. In the enquiry conducted by
the Revenue Inspector, it was learnt that

[illegible] Narasimhaswamy Temple at Hosahareswaraswami Temple at Hundankere that one Shamanna was doing its Puja; that Shankaraya Narasimhaiah was enjoying its inam lands at Hundankere, keeping the utsava Vigraha in his house; that one could be secured for Archakship if the lands were leased out to others & that besides the lands were not so Valuable.

Archak Shamanna having stated before the Revenue Inspector that he would pay a Reserve of Rs 25/- if the inam lands at Hundankere in the enjoyment of others for over 18 or 19 years were made over to him together with their realisation for that period, the Amildar made a report of the above fact in detail to the Sub Division officer who ordered on 8-12-28 that Rs 25/- may be recovered every year towards reserve as agreed to by Shamanna for a period of five years. The lands in the unauthorised enjoyment of Narasimhiah had been transferred to Shamanna on 12-11-1928 alone. As the Resere amount was not paid by Shamanna as per his agreement, a notice was issued for its recovery. On this the Archak presented a petition to the Sub Division officer on 15-5-29, stating that the lands were practically lying waste that their yield would not suffice him even for 6 months, that the temple is far away on a hillock & that as such it is not possible for him to pay the Reserve & that the lands may be

may be taken back by Government.
A report having been called for in this,
the Huissoor was of opinion that the Reserve
was in no way excessive. The Sub Division
officer confirmed his previous order and
the Archak went in appeal before the Deputy
Commissioner undertaking to pay
only if he be given a monthly pay.
The Deputy commissioner passed the order
now under Appeal, as it was seen that
the Reserve of Rs 25/- had been fixed
as agreed to by the Archak himself



The records go to show that the yield
towards Rajabalu from the lands at Baragihalli
and Salapur is Rs 155/- & that from Hardanhere
and Heggere lands is Rs 27/- & Rs 12/- respect
-ively per annum, that the Archak cares
only for the yield in the lands and not
to their proper cultivation and that the temple
is kept in a dirty condition. The Archak
himself has admitted in his statement
dated 20-7-1928 that the income from the
three inam lands at Baragihalli, Salapur &
Heggere is Rs 200/- per annum.

In his present petition the appellant
prays that if the Reserve amount is reduced
it will be paid regularly paid by him
The appellant was heard on 25-5-31.
Order No Appeal 2/30-31 dt 29-8-1931

The Deputy commissioner's order
is an equitable one as the area of the
lands is fairly large and as the temple
requires funds for its repairs.

Yours &c.

(Sd) S.P. Rajagopalachari
Madras Commissioner

Copies by Mr Nanjundiah
Compared by V. Narasinga Rao

Copy applied for on 3-11-31
Copy ready on 4-11-31
Copy delivery on 12-11-31

No. of words 720.

Copying fees 1-0-0
Stamp fees 0-2-0
Cost of paper 0 0-3
Rs 1-3-0

Copy"
Sd. Venkatachalam
Manager
Madras Commissioner's office

12

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

Mis 139-31-2 877 immediate file

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

Mis Appl — x no 1009 31-32 -

The accompanying Petition Registered

No.... 619 ..... dated 8/12/31

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Sh. Ramarao M.A.

Advocate - Sri Lakshmikantha Swami Temple - Banagiballi Chikmagalur Taluk Dt

Appealing against the order of the M.C. dt 29-8-31 in the matter of leasing out the temple lands for panchayat purposes & for the recovery of Rs 2 5/- p.a. towards Reserve Fund.

is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the.....M.C.....

.....

.....for...Comission

a report on the petn immediately

By Order,

22.12.31

Secretary.

Copy

21/12

BANGALORE,

.....19.....

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು..... ....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ,,ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾಲ್ಸ್ ಪೂಕ್ಯಾ.

....

....

. ...

....

.. ..

....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ ....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.. ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

....

....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬಿಂಗೆಳೆ ಠರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

GENL. & REV. SEC'T
1037
12 MAR 1932

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJ OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. 61-32

Apellant:- Shamanna, Archak of Sri Lakshminarasimha-swami Temple, Baragimalli, Chickanaikanahalli Taluq.

The Apellant above-named begs to state as follows:-

1. The apellant has filed an appeal before this Honourable Court in December 1931, appealing against the Order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur in the matter of the recovery of the Reserve amount in respect of the temple lands.

2. The Local authorities have adopted coercive - steps for the recovery of the same, and have attached his moveable properties and his lands at Handinkere (vide endorsement produced herewith).

3. The apellant is put to great inconvenience and shame by the attachment of his domestic utensils.

4. He therefore humbly prays that pending disposal of the appeal, an immediate Stay-Order directing the Deputy Commissioner to hold the proceedings in - abeyance may kindly be issued.

Apellant:

Bangalore,
3-32
11-3-1932

15

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....,..... .....

15

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ...1037...

No. 1313/Rev-H—

To

Mr Shamanna

Archak of Sri Lakshmi-
Narasimhaswamie
Temple - Banagithalli
Chickanayakanahalli
Tk.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue : 22-3-32

Signed by Asst. B. Rundalabasteshwar

Lr. No. dtd 12-3-32 requesting stay of the order passed in the matter of the recovery of the Reserve amount in respect of lands endowed to Sri Lakshminarasimha Swami Temple at Banagithalli Chickanayakanahalli Tk, Mr Shamanna is informed that his request is granted pending decision of the appeal preferred by him.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

B—O

18/3/32

Fortnightly note

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

No. 1314 Dated
Copy with D.C. for prompt action
of this office No. 8917/Secy
139-31-2 Q 23/12/31 with a copy of the petn. upon
~~the D.C. has been marked to~~
R. O.
18/3/32
To see below
Jt dept

G-5
1873

M. C. 13]

No. C 730/3132 — Verdict, D C's office file & M C's office file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th April 1932

FROM

S. HIRIYANNAIYA, B.Sc., M.R.A.S., I.E.S.,
~~S.V.V. RAJAGOPALACHARIAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.~~,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Recd 29-3-32.

2/29/4

To

Rajaseva Paravana
R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Finance & Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No.897/ CR.139- 1-2 dated 26-12- 31, calling for a report with records on the appeal petition of Chikkanna, Archak of Sri Lakshmiraravanaswami temple, Kamagondanahalli, Chiknayakanhalli Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith records received in the Tumkur District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.406/31-32 mentioned in the margin together with this office appeal record No.3/30- 1.

The facts of the case are fully set forth in this office appeal order dated 29-8-31.

The petition with its enclosure is returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

AM/

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Elec

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... Endt No. 1935/Rev

To

Shamanna -
Arcot -
Sri Lakshminarasimha -
- Swami Temple -
Banaji Halli
Chickmagalur Taluk -

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 24.6.32

Signed by for Secretary

No 10 of 31-32

Subject :- Appeal against the decision of the M.C regarding the vacancy of the Reserve Fund at Rs 257-8-0 for 2 Yrs and the leasing out of the Temple Inam lands for 5 years.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

With ref to his ~~Appeal~~ petn on the above subject, ~~appealing against~~ ~~the decision~~ Mr Shamanna is

informed that there are no reasons
to interfere with the orders passed
by the M.C.

B.O.

2/6/32

Forwarded —

No 1936/32 E.C. Accepted

for information and necessary action
Copy to M.C. returning
the records received with his
letter No C730 730/31-32
28/4/32 & in continuation of his
Memo No. 1314/Hut of 22-3-32

B.O.

2/6/32

Forwarded

Accepted

G.S. No.
12/6